IN RE: PETITION FOR ADMIN. VARIANCE * BEFORE THE

(609 Stoneleigh Road)

9th Election District * OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE

5th Council District

Christian Merlo & Jamie Smith * HEARINGS FOR

Petitioners

* BALTIMORE COUNTY

* CASE NO. 2014-0161-A

* * * * * * *

OPINION AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) as a Petition for Administrative Variance filed by the legal owners of the property, Christian Merlo & Jamie Smith ("Petitioners"). The Petitioners are requesting Variance relief from § 400.1 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.), to permit a proposed detached accessory structure (garage) to be located in the side yard of the principal dwelling in lieu of the required rear yard. The subject property and requested relief is more fully depicted on the site plan that was marked and accepted into evidence as Petitioners' Exhibit 1.

The Zoning Advisory Committee (ZAC) comments were received and are made part of the record of this case. There were no adverse ZAC comments submitted from any of the County reviewing agencies.

The Petitioners having filed a Petition for Administrative Variance and the subject property having been posted on February 7, 2014, and there being no request for a public hearing, a decision shall be rendered based upon the documentation presented.

The Petitioners have filed the supporting affidavits as required by Section 32-3-303 of the Baltimore County Code (B.C.C.). Based upon the information available, there is no evidence in the file to indicate that the requested variance would adversely affect the health, safety or general

welfare of the public and should therefore be granted. In the opinion of the Administrative Law Judge, the information, photographs, and affidavits submitted provide sufficient facts that comply with the requirements of Section 307.1 of the B.C.Z.R. Furthermore, strict compliance with the B.C.Z.R. would result in practical difficulty and/or unreasonable hardship upon the Petitioners.

Although the Department of Planning did not make any recommendations related to the garage height and usage, I will impose conditions that the proposed garage not be converted into a dwelling unit or apartment, not contain any sleeping quarters, living area, kitchen or bathroom facilities, and not be used for commercial purposes.

Pursuant to the posting of the property and the provisions of both the B.C.C. and the B.C.Z.R., and for the reasons given above, the requested variance should be granted.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, this $\underline{4^{th}}$ day of March, 2014, by the Administrative Law Judge for Baltimore County, that the Petition for Variance from § 400.1 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.), to permit a proposed detached accessory structure (garage) to be located in the side yard of the principal dwelling in lieu of the required rear yard, be and is hereby GRANTED.

The relief granted herein shall be subject to the following:

- 1. The Petitioners may apply for their appropriate permits and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until such time as the 30-day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, Petitioners would be required to return, and be responsible for returning, said property to its original condition.
- 2. The Petitioners or subsequent owners shall not convert the subject garage into a dwelling unit or apartment. The garage shall not contain any sleeping quarters, living area, kitchen or bathroom facilities.
- 3. The garage shall not be used for commercial purposes.

	Any	appeal	of	this	decision	must	be	made	within	thirty	(30)	days	of	the	date	of	this
Order.																	

___Signed_ JOHN E. BEVERUNGEN Administrative Law Judge for Baltimore County

JEB:dlw