**IN RE: PETITION FOR ADMIN. VARIANCE** \* BEFORE THE

(1423 Providence Road)

9<sup>th</sup> Election District \* OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE

3<sup>rd</sup> Council District

Mark E. and Stacey J. Reeves \* HEARINGS FOR

Petitioners

\* BALTIMORE COUNTY

\* CASE NO. 2015-0064-A

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

## **OPINION AND ORDER**

This matter comes before the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) as a Petition for Administrative Variance filed by the legal owners of the property, Mark E. and Stacey J. Reeves. The Petitioners are requesting Variance relief from § 1B02.3.C.1 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.), to permit a side yard setback of 8 ft. in lieu of the required 15 ft. for a proposed addition. The subject property and requested relief is more fully depicted on the site plan that was marked and accepted into evidence as Petitioners' Exhibit 1.

The Zoning Advisory Committee (ZAC) comments were received and are made part of the record of this case. There were no adverse ZAC comments received from any of the County reviewing agencies. However, it is to be noted that a letter of support was received from neighbors residing on Providence Road (1425, 1426 and 1421), who had no objection to Petitioners' request.

The Petitioners having filed a Petition for Administrative Variance and the subject property having been posted on October 3, 2014, and there being no request for a public hearing, a decision shall be rendered based upon the documentation presented.

The Petitioners have filed the supporting affidavits as required by Section 32-3-303 of the Baltimore County Code (B.C.C.). Based upon the information available, there is no evidence in the file to indicate that the requested variance would adversely affect the health, safety or general

welfare of the public and should therefore be granted. In the opinion of the Administrative Law

Judge, the information, photographs, and affidavits submitted provide sufficient facts that

comply with the requirements of Section 307.1 of the B.C.Z.R. Furthermore, strict compliance

with the B.C.Z.R. would result in practical difficulty and/or unreasonable hardship upon the

Petitioners.

Pursuant to the posting of the property and the provisions of both the Baltimore County

Code and the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, and for the reasons given above, the

requested variance should be granted.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of October, 2014, by the Administrative

Law Judge for Baltimore County, that the Petition for Variance seeking Variance relief from

§ 1B02.3.C.1 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.), to permit a side yard

setback of 8 ft. in lieu of the required 15 ft. for a proposed addition, be and are hereby

GRANTED.

The relief granted herein shall be subject to the following:

Petitioners may apply for their appropriate permits and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until such time as the 30day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, Petitioners would be required to return,

and be responsible for returning, said property to its original condition.

Any appeal of this decision must be made within thirty (30) days of the date of this

Order.

Signed\_\_\_\_ JOHN E. BEVERUNGEN

Administrative Law Judge

for Baltimore County

JEB:dlw

2