

IN RE: PETITION FOR ADMIN. VARIANCE * BEFORE THE
(20 Mamopa Court) *
4th Election District * OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE
3rd Council District * HEARINGS FOR
Marilynn A. Perrelly * BALTIMORE COUNTY
Petitioner *
* **CASE NO. 2015-0230-A**

* * * * *

OPINION AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) as a Petition for Administrative Variance filed by the legal owner of the property, Marilynn A. Perrelly (“Petitioner”). The Petitioner is requesting Variance relief from § 400.1 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.), to permit an accessory structure (shed) to be placed in the front/side yard in lieu of the required rear yard placement. The subject property and requested relief is more fully depicted on the site plan that was marked and accepted into evidence as Petitioner’s Exhibit 1.

The Zoning Advisory Committee (ZAC) comments were received and are made part of the record of this case. There were no adverse ZAC comments submitted from any of the County reviewing agencies. However, it is to be noted that a letter of support was received from adjacent neighbor Michael W. Myers (19 Mamopa Court), and indicates he does not take issue with the placement of a shed on the existing garage foundation.

The Petitioner having filed a Petition for Administrative Variance and the subject property having been posted on April 22, 2015, and there being no request for a public hearing, a decision shall be rendered based upon the documentation presented.

The Petitioner has filed the supporting affidavits as required by Section 32-3-303 of the Baltimore County Code (B.C.C.). Based upon the information available, there is no evidence in the file to indicate that the requested variance would adversely affect the health, safety or general welfare of the public and should therefore be granted. In the opinion of the Administrative Law Judge, the information, photographs, and affidavits submitted provide sufficient facts that comply with the requirements of Section 307.1 of the B.C.Z.R. Furthermore, strict compliance with the B.C.Z.R. would result in practical difficulty and/or unreasonable hardship upon the Petitioner.

Pursuant to the posting of the property and the provisions of both the B.C.C. and the B.C.Z.R., and for the reasons given above, the requested variance should be granted.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, this 13th day of **May, 2015**, by the Administrative Law Judge for Baltimore County, that the Petition for Variance from § 400.1 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.), to permit an accessory structure (shed) to be placed in the front/side yard in lieu of the required rear yard placement, be and is hereby GRANTED.

The relief granted herein shall be subject to the following:

- Petitioner may apply for her appropriate permits and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioner is hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at her own risk until such time as the 30-day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, Petitioner would be required to return, and be responsible for returning, said property to its original condition.

Any appeal of this decision must be made within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order.

Signed _____
JOHN E. BEVERUNGEN
Administrative Law Judge
for Baltimore County

JEB:dlw