IN RE: PETITION FOR VARIANCE

(1955 Joppa Road)

9th Election District
6th Council District
Shopping Center Associates
Legal Owner
Petitioner

* BEFORE THE OFFICE

* OF ADMINISTRATIVE

HEARINGS FOR

BALTIMORE COUNTY

* CASE NO. 2015-0255-A

* * * * * * *

OPINION AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) for Baltimore County as a Petition for Variance on behalf of the legal owner of the subject property. The Petitioner is requesting Variance relief from the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.) §§450.4 Attachment 15(d)(V) and 5(d)(V1) (1): to allow wall-mounted enterprise signs for tenants within a multi-tenant building on a building façade without separate customer entrances (Signs A,B,C,D, and E); and (2) to allow a wall-mounted enterprise sign on a building façade which does not define the space occupied by a tenant (Sign D). The subject property and requested relief is more fully depicted on the site plan marked as Petitioner's Exhibit 1A & 1B.

David H. Karceski, Esq. represented the Petitioner. Brian Donley appeared in support of the petition. The Petition was advertised and posted as required by the B.C.Z.R. There were no substantive Zoning Advisory Committee (ZAC) comments received. There were no Protestants or interested citizens in attendance.

The subject property is approximately 30 acres and is zoned BL-CCC. The Perring Plaza shopping center is located at the site. Several of the tenants are located in a portion of the site not visible from the main thoroughfares, and have requested signage on the rear of the stores which would be visible from Satyr Hill Road.

To obtain variance relief requires a showing that:

- (1) The property is unique; and
- (2) If variance relief is denied, petitioner will experience a practical difficulty or hardship.

Trinity Assembly of God v. People's Counsel, 407 Md. 53, 80 (2008).

Petitioner has met this test. The large property is irregularly shaped and therefore unique.

If the B.C.Z.R. were strictly interpreted, Petitioner would experience a practical difficulty, given it would be unable to install the proposed signs. Finally, I find that the variance can be granted in harmony with the spirit and intent of the B.C.Z.R., and in such manner as to grant relief without injury to the public health, safety, and general welfare. This is demonstrated by the lack of community and/or Baltimore County opposition.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, this <u>14th</u> day of July, 2015, by the Administrative Law Judge for Baltimore County, that the Petition for Variance seeking relief from the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations ("B.C.Z.R.") §§450.4 Attachment 1 5(d)(V) and 5(d)(V1) (1) to allow wall-mounted enterprise signs for tenants within a multi-tenant building on a building façade without separate customer entrances (Signs A,B,C,D, and E); and (2) to allow a wall-mounted enterprise sign on a building façade which does not define the space occupied by a tenant (Sign D), be and is hereby GRANTED.

The relief granted herein shall be subject to the following:

1. Petitioner may apply for necessary permits and/or licenses upon receipt of this Order. However, Petitioner is hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at its own risk until 30 days from the date hereof, during which time an appeal can be filed by any party. If for whatever reason this Order is reversed, Petitioner would be required to return the subject property to its original condition.

| | Signed |
|----------|------------------------------|
| | JOHN E. BEVERUNGEN |
| | Administrative Law Judge for |
| JEB: sln | Baltimore County |

Any appeal of this decision must be made within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order.