IN RE: PETITION FOR ADMIN. VARIANCE	*	BEFORE THE
(6 Blinker Court) 15 <sup>th</sup> Election District	*	OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE
7 <sup>th</sup> Council District Jose Boscana and Vilmarie Santiago	*	HEARINGS FOR
Petitioners	*	BALTIMORE COUNTY
	*	CASE NO. 2015-0299-A
* * * * *	* *	* * *

## **OPINION AND ORDER**

This matter comes before the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) as a Petition for Administrative Variance filed by the legal owners of the property, Jose Boscana and Vilmarie Santiago. The Petitioners are requesting Variance relief from Section 1B02.3.B (211.2, 211.3, 301.1 and 303.1 – 1955 regulations) of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.), to permit a proposed open projection (carport) to have a front yard setback of 0 ft. in lieu of the required 27.75 ft. from a front yard average of 37 ft. and a side yard setback of 5 ft. in lieu of the required 6 ft. The subject property and requested relief is more fully depicted on the site plan that was marked and accepted into evidence as Petitioners' Exhibit 1.

The Zoning Advisory Committee (ZAC) comments were received and are made part of the record of this case. There were no adverse ZAC comments submitted from any of the County reviewing agencies.

The Petitioners having filed a Petition for Administrative Variance and the subject property having been posted on July 4, 2015, and there being no request for a public hearing, a decision shall be rendered based upon the documentation presented.

The Petitioners have filed the supporting affidavits as required by Section 32-3-303 of the Baltimore County Code (B.C.C.). Based upon the information available, there is no evidence in

the file to indicate that the requested variance would adversely affect the health, safety or general welfare of the public and should therefore be granted. In the opinion of the Administrative Law Judge, the information, photographs, and affidavits submitted provide sufficient facts that comply with the requirements of Section 307.1 of the B.C.Z.R. Furthermore, strict compliance with the B.C.Z.R. would result in practical difficulty and/or unreasonable hardship upon the Petitioners.

Pursuant to the posting of the property and the provisions of both the Baltimore County Code and the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, and for the reasons given above, the requested variance should be granted.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, this  $22^{nd}$  day of July, 2015, by the Administrative Law Judge for Baltimore County, that the Petition for Variance from Section 1B02.3.B (211.2, 211.3, 301.1 and 303.1 – 1955 regulations) of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.), to permit a proposed open projection (carport) to have a front yard setback of 0 ft. in lieu of the required 27.75 ft. from a front yard average of 37 ft. and a side yard setback of 5 ft. in lieu of the required 6 ft., be and is hereby GRANTED.

The relief granted herein shall be subject to the following:

- 1. The Petitioners may apply for their appropriate permits and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until such time as the 30-day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, Petitioners would be required to return, and be responsible for returning, said property to its original condition.
- 2. Building materials for the carport addition shall be consistent with those of the existing principal dwelling.
- 3. The carport shall remain open on the three exposed sides and shall not be enclosed at any time.

Any appeal of this decision must be made within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order.

Signed\_\_\_\_\_\_ JOHN E. BEVERUNGEN Administrative Law Judge for Baltimore County

JEB:dlw