IN RE: PETITION FOR ADMIN. VARIANCE *<br>(9021 Kahl Avenue)<br>$11^{\text {th }}$ Election District<br>$5^{\text {th }}$ Council District<br>Andrew M. \& Rebecca M. Weaver *<br>Petitioners<br>\section*{BEFORE THE}<br>OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE<br>HEARINGS FOR<br>BALTIMORE COUNTY<br>CASE NO. 2016-0029-A<br>* * * * *

## OPINION AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) as a Petition for Administrative Variance filed by the legal owners of the property, Andrew M. \& Rebecca M. Weaver. The Petitioners are requesting variance relief from § 400.3 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.), to permit an accessory structure to be built in the rear of the property with a height of 18 ft . in lieu of the maximum allowed height of 15 ft . The subject property and requested relief is more fully depicted on the site plan that was marked and accepted into evidence as Petitioners' Exhibit 1.

The Zoning Advisory Committee (ZAC) comments were received and are made part of the record of this case. There were no adverse ZAC comments submitted by any of the County reviewing agencies. However, it is to be noted that support letters were received from residents at 9025 and 9031 Kahl Avenue, indicating they had no problems with the Petitioners' request.

The Petitioners having filed a Petition for Administrative Variance and the subject property having been posted on August 16, 2015, and there being no request for a public hearing, a decision shall be rendered based upon the documentation presented.

Based upon the information available, there is no evidence in the file to indicate that the requested variance would adversely affect the health, safety or general welfare of the public and should therefore be granted. In the opinion of the Administrative Law Judge, the information and
photographs submitted provide sufficient facts that comply with the requirements of Section 307.1 of the B.C.Z.R. Furthermore, strict compliance with the B.C.Z.R. would result in practical difficulty and/or unreasonable hardship upon the Petitioners.

Pursuant to the posting of the property and the provisions of both the Baltimore County Code and the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, and for the reasons given above, the requested variance should be granted.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, this $\underline{4}^{\text {th }}$ day of September, 2015, by the Administrative Law Judge for Baltimore County, that the Petition for Variance seeking relief from § 400.3 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.), to permit an accessory structure to be built in the rear of the property with a height of 18 ft . in lieu of the maximum allowed height of 15 ft ., be and is hereby GRANTED.

The relief granted herein shall be subject to the following:

1. Petitioners may apply for their appropriate permits and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until such time as the 30-day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, Petitioners would be required to return, and be responsible for returning, said property to its original condition.
2. The Petitioners or subsequent owners shall not convert the accessory building into a dwelling unit or apartment. The structure shall not contain any sleeping quarters, living area, kitchen or bathroom facilities.
3. The accessory building shall not be used for commercial purposes.

Any appeal of this decision must be made within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order.

