IN RE: PETITION FOR ADMIN. VARIANCE * BEFORE THE

(816 Cedar Avenue)

15th Election District * OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE

7th Council District

Adrian M. and Amy B. Tenney * HEARINGS FOR

Petitioners

* BALTIMORE COUNTY

* CASE NO. 2016-0082-A

* * * * * * * * *

OPINION AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) for Baltimore County for consideration of a Petition for Administrative Variance filed by the legal owners of the property, Adrian M. and Amy B. Tenney ("Petitioners"). The Petitioners are requesting Variance relief pursuant to § 1B01.2.3.C.1 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations ("B.C.Z.R), to permit a proposed side addition with a side yard setback of 6 ft. in lieu of the required 10 ft. The subject property and requested relief is more fully depicted on the site plan that was marked and accepted into evidence as Petitioners' Exhibit 1.

The Zoning Advisory Committee (ZAC) comments were received and are made part of the record of this case. A ZAC comment was received from the Department of Environmental Protection and Sustainability (DEPS) dated October 19, 2015 indicating that development of this property must comply with the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area Regulations (§§ 33-2-101 through 33-2-1004 and other sections of the Baltimore County Code).

The Petitioners having filed a Petition for Administrative Variance and the subject property having been posted on October 10, 2015, and there being no request for a public hearing, a decision shall be rendered based upon the documentation presented.

The Petitioners have filed the supporting affidavits as required by Section 32-3-303 of the Baltimore County Code (B.C.C.). Based upon the information available, there is no evidence in the file to indicate that the requested variance would adversely affect the health, safety or general welfare of the public and should therefore be granted. In the opinion of the Administrative Law Judge, the information, photographs, and affidavits submitted provide sufficient facts that comply with the requirements of Section 307.1 of the B.C.Z.R. Furthermore, strict compliance with the B.C.Z.R. would result in practical difficulty and/or unreasonable hardship upon the Petitioners.

Pursuant to the posting of the property and the provisions of both the Baltimore County Code and the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, and for the reasons given above, the requested variance should be granted.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, this <u>29th</u> day of October, 2015 by the Administrative Law Judge for Baltimore County, that the Petition for Variance seeking relief from § 1B01.2.3.C.1 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations ("B.C.Z.R), to permit a proposed side addition with a side yard setback of 6 ft. in lieu of the required 10 ft., be and is hereby GRANTED.

The relief granted herein shall be subject to the following:

- 1. Petitioners may apply for their appropriate permits and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until such time as the 30-day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, Petitioners would be required to return, and be responsible for returning, said property to its original condition.
- 2. Petitioners must comply with the ZAC comment received from DEPS dated October 19, 2015; a copy of which is attached and made a part hereof.

	Any	appeal	of th	is	decision	must	be	made	within	thirty	(30)	days	of	the	date	of	this
Order.																	
										Signed							
									•	JOHN			UN	IGE	N	_	
										Admin	istrat	ive La	aw .	Judg	ge for	•	
JEB:dl	W									Baltim	ore C	ounty	7				