IN RE: PETITION FOR ADMIN. VARIANCE \* BEFORE THE

(6909 Harewood Park Drive)

15<sup>th</sup> Election District \* OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE

6<sup>th</sup> Council District
Dennis M. and Patricia A. Brown

\* HEARINGS F

Dennis M. and Patricia A. Brown \* HEARINGS FOR Petitioners

\* BALTIMORE COUNTY

\* CASE NO. 2016-0088-A

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

## **OPINION AND ORDER**

This matter comes before the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) as a Petition for Administrative Variance filed by the legal owners of the property, Dennis M. and Patricia A. Brown ("Petitioners"). The Petitioners are requesting Variance relief from § 1B02.3.C.1 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.), to permit a proposed addition with a side yard setback as close as 8 ft. 2 in. in lieu of the required 10 ft. The subject property and requested relief is more fully depicted on the site plan that was marked and accepted into evidence as Petitioners' Exhibit 1.

The Zoning Advisory Committee (ZAC) comments were received and are made part of the record of this case. The property is located within the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area (CBCA) and Limited Development Area (LDA) and is subject to Critical Area requirements as noted in the ZAC comment dated November 2, 2015 submitted by the Department of Environmental Protection and Sustainability (DEPS). In addition, several letters of support were received from neighbors residing at 6907 and 6911 Harewood Park indicating they had no opposition.

The Petitioners having filed a Petition for Administrative Variance and the subject property having been posted on October 12, 2015, and there being no request for a public hearing, a decision shall be rendered based upon the documentation presented.

Based upon the information available, there is no evidence in the file to indicate that the requested variance would adversely affect the health, safety or general welfare of the public and

should therefore be granted. In the opinion of the Administrative Law Judge, the information and

photographs submitted provide sufficient facts that comply with the requirements of Section 307.1

of the B.C.Z.R. Furthermore, strict compliance with the B.C.Z.R. would result in practical

difficulty and/or unreasonable hardship upon the Petitioners.

Pursuant to the posting of the property and the provisions of both the Baltimore County

Code and the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, and for the reasons given above, the

requested variance should be granted.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, this 5<sup>th</sup> day of **November**, 2015, by the Administrative

Law Judge for Baltimore County, that the Petition for Variance seeking relief from §1B02.3.C.1

of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.), to permit a proposed addition with a side

yard setback as close as 8 ft. 2 in. in lieu of the required 10 ft., be and is hereby GRANTED.

The relief granted herein shall be subject to the following:

• Petitioners may apply for their appropriate permits and be granted same

upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until such time as the 30-day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this

Order is reversed, Petitioners would be required to return, and be responsible for returning, said property to its original condition.

• Petitioners must comply with the ZAC comment received from DEPS dated

November 2, 2015; a copy of which is attached and made a part hereof.

Any appeal of this decision must be made within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order.

\_Signed\_

JOHN E. BEVERUNGEN

Administrative Law Judge

for Baltimore County

JEB:dlw

2