

<b>IN RE: PETITION FOR ADMIN. VARIANCE</b>	*	BEFORE THE
<b>(1008 Nicodemus Road)</b>		
4 <sup>th</sup> Election District	*	OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE
4 <sup>th</sup> Council District		
Christopher R. and Katherine L. Nickoles	*	HEARINGS FOR
Petitioners		
	*	BALTIMORE COUNTY
	*	<b>CASE NO. 2016-0171-A</b>

\* \* \* \* \*

**OPINION AND ORDER**

This matter comes before the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) as a Petition for Administrative Variance filed by the legal owners of the property, Christopher R. and Katherine L. Nickoles (“Petitioners”). The Petitioners are requesting Variance relief from § 1A03.4.B.2.a of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.), to permit a proposed dwelling addition with a side yard setback of 12 ft. in lieu of the minimum required 25 ft. The subject property and requested relief is more fully depicted on the site plan that was marked and accepted into evidence as Petitioners’ Exhibit 1.

The Zoning Advisory Committee (ZAC) comments were received and are made part of the record of this case. There were no adverse ZAC comments submitted by any of the County reviewing agencies. However, it is to be noted that a letter of support was received from adjacent neighbors at 1010 Nicodemus Road (Lorraine and Howard Mencher), who have no objection to Petitioners’ zoning request.

The Petitioners having filed a Petition for Administrative Variance and the subject property having been posted on January 31, 2015, and there being no request for a public hearing, a decision shall be rendered based upon the documentation presented.

Based upon the information available, there is no evidence in the file to indicate that the requested variance would adversely affect the health, safety or general welfare of the public and should therefore be granted. In the opinion of the Administrative Law Judge, the information and

photographs submitted provide sufficient facts that comply with the requirements of Section 307.1 of the B.C.Z.R. Furthermore, strict compliance with the B.C.Z.R. would result in practical difficulty and/or unreasonable hardship upon the Petitioners.

Pursuant to the posting of the property and the provisions of both the Baltimore County Code and the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, and for the reasons given above, the requested variance should be granted.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, this **23<sup>rd</sup>** day of **February, 2016**, by the Administrative Law Judge for Baltimore County, that the Petition for Variance seeking relief from § 1A03.4.B.2.a of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.), to permit a proposed dwelling addition with a side yard setback of 12 ft. in lieu of the minimum required 25 ft., be and is hereby GRANTED.

The relief granted herein shall be subject to the following:

- Petitioners may apply for their appropriate permits and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until such time as the 30-day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, Petitioners would be required to return, and be responsible for returning, said property to its original condition.

Any appeal of this decision must be made within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signed  
JOHN E. BEVERUNGEN  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Baltimore County

JEB:dlw