**IN RE: PETITION FOR ADMIN. VARIANCE** \* BEFORE THE

(19 Wagon Wheel Court)

11<sup>th</sup> Election District \* OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE

3<sup>rd</sup> Council District

John P. & Jennifer Korfiatis \* HEARINGS FOR

Petitioners

\* BALTIMORE COUNTY

\* CASE NO. 2016-0179-A

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

## **OPINION AND ORDER**

This matter comes before the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) as a Petition for Administrative Variance filed by the legal owners of the property, John P. & Jennifer Korfiatis ("Petitioners"). The Petitioners are requesting Variance relief from § 1A04.3.B.2.b of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.), to permit a proposed addition with a side setback of 38 ft. in lieu of the minimum side setback of 50 ft. The subject property and requested relief is more fully depicted on the site plan that was marked and accepted into evidence as Petitioners' Exhibit 1.

The Zoning Advisory Committee (ZAC) comments were received and are made part of the record of this case. There were no adverse ZAC comments submitted by any of the County reviewing agencies. However, it is to be noted that support was received [via signatures on a Plat copy] from adjacent neighbors John F. and Frances Kitz who reside at 17 Wagon Wheel Court, indicating they have no objections to the Petitioners' zoning request.

The Petitioners having filed a Petition for Administrative Variance and the subject property having been posted on February 13, 2016, and there being no request for a public hearing, a decision shall be rendered based upon the documentation presented.

Based upon the information available, there is no evidence in the file to indicate that the

requested variance would adversely affect the health, safety or general welfare of the public and

should therefore be granted. In the opinion of the Administrative Law Judge, the information and

photographs submitted provide sufficient facts that comply with the requirements of Section 307.1

of the B.C.Z.R. Furthermore, strict compliance with the B.C.Z.R. would result in practical

difficulty and/or unreasonable hardship upon the Petitioners.

Pursuant to the posting of the property and the provisions of both the Baltimore County

Code and the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, and for the reasons given above, the

requested variance should be granted.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, this 3rd day of March, 2016, by the Administrative Law

Judge for Baltimore County, that the Petition for Variance seeking relief from § 1A04.3.B.2.b of

the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.), to permit a proposed addition with a side

setback of 38 ft. in lieu of the minimum side setback of 50 ft., be and is hereby GRANTED.

The relief granted herein shall be subject to the following:

• Petitioners may apply for their appropriate permits and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until such time as the 30-day

appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, Petitioners would be required to return, and be

responsible for returning, said property to its original condition.

Any appeal of this decision must be made within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order.

\_\_Signed

JOHN E. BEVERUNGEN Administrative Law Judge

for Baltimore County

JEB:dlw

2