

<b>IN RE: PETITION FOR ADMIN. VARIANCE</b>	*	BEFORE THE
<b>(16104 Carroll Road)</b>		
10 <sup>th</sup> Election District	*	OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE
3 <sup>rd</sup> Council District		
Glenn D. Thomas	*	HEARINGS FOR
Petitioner		
	*	BALTIMORE COUNTY
	*	<b>CASE NO. 2016-0329-A</b>

\* \* \* \* \*

**OPINION AND ORDER**

This matter comes before the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) as a Petition for Administrative Variance filed by the legal owner of the property, Glenn D. Thomas. The Petitioner is requesting Variance relief from § 400.1 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.), to permit an existing pool/spa with a proposed pergola located on the side closest to the street side in lieu of the 1/3 farthest from both streets. The subject property and requested relief is more fully depicted on the site plan that was marked and accepted into evidence as Petitioner’s Exhibit 1.

The Zoning Advisory Committee (ZAC) comments were received and are made part of the record of this case. A ZAC comment was received from the Bureau of Development Plans Review (DPR) on July 13, 2016 indicating that landscape screening should be provided along the Monkton Manor Road property line to screen both the side and rear yards.

The Petitioner having filed a Petition for Administrative Variance and the subject property having been posted on July 3, 2016, and there being no request for a public hearing, a decision shall be rendered based upon the documentation presented.

The Petitioner has filed the supporting affidavits as required by Section 32-3-303 of the Baltimore County Code (B.C.C.). Based upon the information available, there is no evidence in the file to indicate that the requested variance would adversely affect the health, safety or general welfare of the public and should therefore be granted. In the opinion of the Administrative Law

Judge, the information, photographs, and affidavits submitted provide sufficient facts that comply with the requirements of Section 307.1 of the B.C.Z.R. Furthermore, strict compliance with the B.C.Z.R. would result in practical difficulty and/or unreasonable hardship upon the Petitioner.

Pursuant to the posting of the property and the provisions of both the Baltimore County Code and the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, and for the reasons given above, the requested variance should be granted.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, this 21<sup>st</sup> day of **July, 2016**, by the Administrative Law Judge for Baltimore County, that the Petition for Variance relief from § 400.1 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.), to permit an existing pool/spa with a proposed pergola located on the side closest to the street side in lieu of the 1/3 farthest from both streets, be and is hereby GRANTED.

The relief granted herein shall be subject to the following:

- Petitioner may apply for his appropriate permits and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioner is hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at his own risk until such time as the 30-day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, Petitioner would be required to return, and be responsible for returning, said property to its original condition.
- Petitioner must comply with the ZAC comment received from the DPR dated July 13, 2016; a copy of which is attached and made a part herof.

Any appeal of this decision must be made within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order.

JEB:dlw

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signed \_\_\_\_\_  
JOHN E. BEVERUNGEN  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Baltimore County