IN RE: PETITIONS FOR SPECIAL HEARING AND VARIANCE					BEFORE THE	
(502 Reisterstown Road) 3 <sup>rd</sup> Election District			*		OFFICE OF	
2 <sup>nd</sup> Council District McDonald's Corporation			*		ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS	íS
Legal Owner			*	:	FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY	
Petitioner			*		Case No. 2017-0090-SPHA	
* *	*	*	*	*	* *	

## **OPINION AND ORDER**

This matter comes before the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) for consideration of Petitions for Special Hearing and Variance filed on behalf of McDonald's Corporation, legal owner ("Petitioner"). The Special Hearing was filed pursuant to § 500.7 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations ("B.C.Z.R) to approve the amendment of Zoning Case No. 2008-0235-SPHA. In addition, a Petition for Variance seeks: (1) To permit 30 off-street parking spaces in lieu of the required 65 parking spaces; (2) To permit a directional sign of 11.67 ft. in height in lieu of the permitted 6 ft. (Double Arm Gateway); (3) To permit 2 directional signs of 10.17 ft. in height in lieu of the permitted 6 ft.; (5) To permit 2 projected directional signs in lieu of the permitted wall-mounted or free-standing directional signs ("Window Position Signs" Signs); and (6) To permit 2 stacking spaces behind the order board in lieu of the minimum required 5 stacking spaces. Counsel noted that a redline change was made to the plan to reflect that 62 (rather than 65) parking spaces are required, and the Order will therefore use that revised figure. A site plan was marked and accepted into evidence as Petitioner's Exhibit 4.

Professional engineer Geoff Ciniero, Danitra Bell and Martin Emmer appeared in support of the requests. Caroline L. Hecker, Esq. and Justin Williams, Esq. represented the Petitioner. There were no Protestants or interested citizens in attendance. The Petition was advertised and posted as required by the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations. A substantive Zoning Advisory Committee (ZAC) comment was received from the Bureau of Development Plans Review (DPR), indicating that lighting and landscape plans would be required.

The subject property is approximately 0.698 acres in size and is split-zoned BL/DR 5.5. A McDonald's has operated at this location since 1967. The restaurant was reconstructed in 2008 with a modern building and signage. Petitioner proposes to install a second drive-thru lane at the restaurant, along with directional signage. To do so variances are required.

## SPECIAL HEARING

The special hearing request is simply a "housekeeping" matter, in that Petitioner seeks to

amend a prior zoning Order to reflect the relief granted herein. As such the request will be granted.

## VARIANCES

A variance request involves a two-step process, summarized as follows:

- (1) It must be shown the property is unique in a manner which makes it unlike surrounding properties, and that uniqueness or peculiarity must necessitate variance relief; and
- (2) If variance relief is denied, Petitioner will experience a practical difficulty or hardship.

Cromwell v. Ward, 102 Md. App. 691 (1995).

Petitioner has met this test. The split-zoned property is narrow and irregularly shaped. As such it is unique. Petitioner would experience practical difficulty if the regulations were strictly interpreted because it would be unable to construct the proposed improvements. Finally, as demonstrated by the lack of County and/or community opposition, I do not believe granting the requests would have a detrimental impact upon the community.

The requested signage is not excessive and is identical to that in place at numerous other McDonald's restaurants in Baltimore County and surrounding areas. With respect to the parking variance, Petitioner submitted a "Parking Survey" prepared by Traffic Concepts, Inc. which noted that a commercial parking lot is considered "full" when 90 percent of the spaces are occupied. Petitioner's Exhibit 5. Based upon on-site observations during three different time periods, the study concluded that even at peak periods the parking lot occupancy rate at this location would not exceed 63 percent.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED this <u>8<sup>th</sup></u> day of December, **2016**, by this Administrative Law Judge, that the Petition for Special Hearing filed pursuant to § 500.7 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations ("B.C.Z.R) to approve the amendment of Zoning Case No. 2008-0235-SPHA, in accordance with the relief granted below, be and is hereby GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the petition for variance: (1) To permit 30 off-street parking spaces in lieu of the required 62 parking spaces; (2) To permit a directional sign of 11.67 ft. in height in lieu of the permitted 6 ft. (Double Arm Gateway); (3) To permit 2 directional signs of 10.17 ft. in height in lieu of the permitted 6 ft. ("Order Here" Signs); (4) To permit 2 order boards of 6.75 ft. in height in lieu of the maximum permitted 6 ft.; (5) To permit 2 projected directional signs in lieu of the permitted wall-mounted or free-standing directional signs ("Window Position Signs" Signs); and (6) To permit 2 stacking spaces behind the order board in lieu of the minimum required 5 stacking spaces, be and is hereby GRANTED.

The relief granted herein shall be subject to and conditioned upon the following:

- Petitioner may apply for necessary permits and/or licenses upon receipt of this Order. However, Petitioner is hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at its own risk until 30 days from the date hereof, during which time an appeal can be filed by any party. If for whatever reason this Order is reversed, Petitioner would be required to return the subject property to its original condition.
- 2. Petitioner must provide landscaping and/or lighting at the site as determined by the Baltimore County landscape architect.

Any appeal of this decision must be made within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order.

\_\_\_\_\_Signed\_\_\_\_\_ JOHN E. BEVERUNGEN Administrative Law Judge for Baltimore County

JEB/sln