

IN RE: PETITION FOR ADMIN. VARIANCE	*	BEFORE THE
(1822 Cainewood Court)		
1 st Election District	*	OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE
1 st Council District		
Stephen H. & Tammy J. Pedrick	*	HEARINGS FOR
Petitioners		
	*	BALTIMORE COUNTY
	*	CASE NO. 2017-0239-A

* * * * *

OPINION AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) as a Petition for Administrative Variance filed by the legal owners of the property, Stephen H. & Tammy J. Pedrick (“Petitioners”). The Petitioners are requesting variance relief from §§ 504.2 and 1B01.2.C.6 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.) and § V.B.b of the Comprehensive Manual of Development Policies (C.M.D.P.), to permit a proposed addition with a side building face to side building face setback as close as 25 ft. in lieu of the minimum required 30 ft.; and to amend the Final Development Plan (FDP) of Cainewood, Phase I and II, Lot No. 24 only. The subject property and requested relief is more fully depicted on the site plan that was marked and accepted into evidence as Petitioners’ Exhibit 1.

The Zoning Advisory Committee (ZAC) comments were received and are made part of the record of this case. A ZAC comment was received from the Department of Environmental Protection and Sustainability (DEPS), dated March 22, 2017, indicating Petitioners must comply with certain environmental regulations.

The Petitioners having filed a Petition for Administrative Variance and the subject property having been posted on March 18, 2017, and there being no request for a public hearing, a decision shall be rendered based upon the documentation presented.

Based upon the information available, there is no evidence in the file to indicate that the requested variance would adversely affect the health, safety or general welfare of the public and should therefore be granted. In the opinion of the Administrative Law Judge, the information and photographs submitted provide sufficient facts that comply with the requirements of Section 307.1 of the B.C.Z.R. Furthermore, strict compliance with the B.C.Z.R. would result in practical difficulty and/or unreasonable hardship upon the Petitioners.

Pursuant to the posting of the property and the provisions of both the Baltimore County Code and the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, and for the reasons given above, the requested variance should be granted.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, this 10th day of **April, 2017**, by the Administrative Law Judge for Baltimore County, that the Petition for Variance seeking relief from §§ 504.2 and 1B01.2.C.6 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.) and § V.B.b of the Comprehensive Manual of Development Policies (C.M.D.P.), to permit a proposed addition with a side building face to side building face setback as close as 25 ft. in lieu of the minimum required 30 ft.; and to amend the Final Development Plan (FDP) of Cainewood, Phase I and II, Lot No. 24 only, be and is hereby GRANTED.

The relief granted herein shall be subject to the following:

1. Petitioners may apply for their appropriate permits and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until such time as the 30-day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, Petitioners would be required to return, and be responsible for returning, said property to its original condition.
2. Petitioners must comply with the ZAC comment, dated March 22, 2017, from DEPS; a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part hereof.

Any appeal of this decision must be made within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order.

Signed
JOHN E. BEVERUNGEN
Administrative Law Judge
for Baltimore County

JEB:dlw