**IN RE: PETITION FOR ADMIN. VARIANCE** \* BEFORE THE

(407 Brook Road)

9<sup>th</sup> Election District \* OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE

5<sup>th</sup> Council District
Mark D. McColloch

\* HEARINGS FOR

Petitioner \* HEARINGS FOR

\* BALTIMORE COUNTY

\* CASE NO. 2017-0291-A

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

## **OPINION AND ORDER**

This matter comes before the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) as a Petition for Administrative Variance filed by the legal owner of the property, Mark D. McColloch ("Petitioner"). The Petitioner is requesting variance relief from § 1B02.3.C.1 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations ("B.C.Z.R.") to permit a proposed side yard addition with a side setback of 6 ft. in lieu of the required 10 ft. The subject property and requested relief is more fully depicted on the site plan that was marked and accepted into evidence as Petitioner's Exhibit 1.

It is to be noted that this administrative variance case closed on May 22, 2017 but was not received by OAH until May 31, 2017; the whereabouts of the case file between these dates is unknown.

The Zoning Advisory Committee (ZAC) comments were received and are made part of the record of this case. There were no adverse ZAC comments received from any of the County reviewing agencies.

The Petitioner having filed a Petition for Administrative Variance and the subject property having been posted on May 4, 2017, and there being no request for a public hearing, a decision shall be rendered based upon the documentation presented.

Based upon the information available, there is no evidence in the file to indicate that the

requested variance would adversely affect the health, safety or general welfare of the public and

should therefore be granted. In the opinion of the Administrative Law Judge, the information and

photographs submitted provide sufficient facts that comply with the requirements of Section 307.1

of the B.C.Z.R. Furthermore, strict compliance with the B.C.Z.R. would result in practical

difficulty and/or unreasonable hardship upon the Petitioner.

Pursuant to the posting of the property and the provisions of both the Baltimore County

Code and the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, and for the reasons given above, the

requested variance should be granted.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, this  $2^{nd}$  day of **June**, 2017, by the Administrative Law

Judge for Baltimore County, that the Petition for Variance seeking relief from § 1B02.3.C.1 of the

Baltimore County Zoning Regulations ("B.C.Z.R.") to permit a proposed side yard addition with

a side setback of 6 ft. in lieu of the required 10 ft., be and is hereby GRANTED.

The relief granted herein shall be subject to the following:

• Petitioner may apply for his appropriate permits and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioner is hereby made aware that

proceeding at this time is at his own risk until such time as the 30-day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, Petitioner would be required to return, and be responsible

for returning, said property to its original condition.

Any appeal of this decision must be made within thirty (30) days of the date of this

Order.

\_Signed\_

JOHN E. BEVERUNGEN

Administrative Law Judge for Baltimore County

JEB:dlw

2