**IN RE: PETITION FOR ADMIN. VARIANCE** \* BEFORE THE

(2 Nuthatch Court)

8<sup>th</sup> Election District \* OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE

3<sup>rd</sup> Council District

David A. & Patricia L. Mayhew \* HEARINGS FOR

Petitioners

\* BALTIMORE COUNTY

\* CASE NO. 2018-0079-A

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

## **OPINION AND ORDER**

This matter comes before the Office of Administrative Hearings ("OAH") for Baltimore County for consideration of a Petition for Administrative Variance filed by the legal owners of the property, David A. and Patricia L. Mayhew ("Petitioners"). The Petitioners are requesting Variance relief pursuant to §§ 1B02.3, 205.3 and 205.4 [1955-1963 Regs.] of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations ("B.C.Z.R."), to permit the replacement and enlargement of an enclosed existing porch with a side yard setback of 3 ft. and a rear yard setback of 3 ft. in lieu of the required 15 ft. and 40 ft. respectively. The subject property and requested relief is more fully depicted on the site plan that was marked and accepted into evidence as Petitioners' Exhibit 1.

The Zoning Advisory Committee ("ZAC") comments were received and are made part of the record of this case. No adverse ZAC comments were received from any of the County reviewing agencies. However, it is to be noted that a letter of support was received from adjacent neighbors (10623 Partridge Lane) who have no objection to Petitioners' zoning request.

The Petitioners having filed a Petition for Administrative Variance and the subject property having been posted on September 22, 2016, and there being no request for a public hearing, a decision shall be rendered based upon the documentation presented.

The Petitioners have filed the supporting affidavits as required by § 32-3-303 of the Baltimore County Code ("B.C.C."). Based upon the information available, there is no evidence in the file to indicate that the requested variance would adversely affect the health, safety or general welfare of the public and should therefore be granted. In the opinion of the Administrative Law Judge, the information, photographs, and affidavits submitted provide sufficient facts that comply with the requirements of § 307.1 of the B.C.Z.R. Furthermore, strict compliance with the B.C.Z.R. would result in practical difficulty and/or unreasonable hardship upon the Petitioners.

Pursuant to the posting of the property and the provisions of both the Baltimore County Code and the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, and for the reasons given above, the requested variance should be granted.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, this <u>13<sup>th</sup></u> day of October, 2017, by the Administrative Law Judge for Baltimore County, that the Petition for Variance seeking relief from §§ 1B02.3, 205.3 and 205.4 [1955-1963 Regs.] of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations ("B.C.Z.R."), to permit the replacement and enlargement of an enclosed existing porch with a side yard setback of 3 ft. and a rear yard setback of 3 ft. in lieu of the required 15 ft. and 40 ft. respectively, be and is hereby GRANTED.

The relief granted herein shall be subject to the following:

Petitioners may apply for necessary permits and/or licenses upon receipt
of this Order. However, Petitioners are hereby made aware that
proceeding at this time is at their own risk until 30 days from the date
hereof, during which time an appeal can be filed by any party. If for
whatever reason this Order is reversed, Petitioners would be required to
return the subject property to its original condition.

	Any	appeal	of	this	decision	must	be	made	within	thirty	(30)	days	of	the	date	of	this
Order.																	

\_\_\_Signed\_\_\_ JOHN E. BEVERUNGEN Administrative Law Judge for Baltimore County

JEB:dlw