

IN RE: PETITION FOR ADMIN. VARIANCE	*	BEFORE THE
(9306 Sea Horse Court)		
15 th Election District	*	OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE
7 th Council District		
Harry A. & Deana M. Groff	*	HEARINGS FOR
Petitioners		
	*	BALTIMORE COUNTY
	*	CASE NO. 2018-0164-A

* * * * *

OPINION AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Office of Administrative Hearings (“OAH”) for Baltimore County for consideration of a Petition for Administrative Variance filed by the legal owners of the property, Harry A. and Deana M. Groff (“Petitioners”). The Petitioners are requesting Variance relief pursuant to § 1B01.2.C.1.b of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (“B.C.Z.R.”), to permit a rear yard addition (existing open deck to be enclosed) with a rear setback of 18 ft. in lieu of the required 30 ft. The subject property and requested relief is more fully depicted on the site plan that was marked and accepted into evidence as Petitioners’ Exhibit 1.

The Zoning Advisory Committee (“ZAC”) comments were received and are made part of the record of this case. The property is located within the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area (“CBCA”) and is subject to Critical Area requirements as noted in the ZAC comment dated January 11, 2018 submitted by the Department of Environmental Protection and Sustainability (“DEPS”). In addition, a ZAC comment was received from the Bureau of Development Plans Review (“DPR”) dated January 10, 2018, indicating that prior to building permit application, Petitioners must contact the office of the Director of Public Works to determine the flood protection elevation so that floor elevation can be set.

The Petitioners having filed a Petition for Administrative Variance and the subject property having been posted on December 24, 2017, and there being no request for a public hearing, a decision shall be rendered based upon the documentation presented.

The Petitioners have filed the supporting affidavits as required by § 32-3-303 of the Baltimore County Code (“B.C.C.”). Based upon the information available, there is no evidence in the file to indicate that the requested variance would adversely affect the health, safety or general welfare of the public and should therefore be granted. In the opinion of the Administrative Law Judge, the information, photographs, and affidavits submitted provide sufficient facts that comply with the requirements of § 307.1 of the B.C.Z.R. Furthermore, strict compliance with the B.C.Z.R. would result in practical difficulty and/or unreasonable hardship upon the Petitioners.

Pursuant to the posting of the property and the provisions of both the Baltimore County Code and the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, and for the reasons given above, the requested variance should be granted.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, this 17th day of **January, 2018**, by the Administrative Law Judge for Baltimore County, that the Petition for Variance seeking relief from § 1B01.2.C.1.b of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (“B.C.Z.R.”), to permit a rear yard addition (existing open deck to be enclosed) with a rear setback of 18 ft. in lieu of the required 30 ft., be and is hereby GRANTED.

The relief granted herein shall be subject to the following:

1. Petitioners may apply for necessary permits and/or licenses upon receipt of this Order. However, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until 30 days from the date hereof, during which time an appeal can be filed by any party. If for whatever reason this Order is reversed, Petitioners would be required to return the subject property to its original condition.

2. Petitioners must comply with the ZAC comments received from DEPS, dated January 11, 2018, and DPR, dated January 10, 2018; copies of which are attached hereto and made a part hereof.

Any appeal of this decision must be made within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order.

Signed
JOHN E. BEVERUNGEN
Administrative Law Judge for
Baltimore County

JEB:dlw