

<b>IN RE: PETITION FOR ADMIN. VARIANCE</b>	*	BEFORE THE
<b>(57 Windmere Parkway)</b>		
11 <sup>th</sup> Election District	*	OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE
3 <sup>rd</sup> Council District		
Justin W. & Emily M. Hartzell	*	HEARINGS FOR
Petitioners		
	*	BALTIMORE COUNTY
	*	<b>CASE NO. 2018-0181-A</b>

\* \* \* \* \*

**OPINION AND ORDER**

This matter comes before the Office of Administrative Hearings (“OAH”) as a Petition for Administrative Variance filed by the legal owners of the property, Justin W. and Emily M. Hartzell (“Petitioners”). The Petitioners are requesting Variance relief pursuant to §§ 103.1 and 1B02.3.B (see 202.4 of the 1963 Baltimore County Zoning Regulations [“BCZR”]), to permit an addition with a minimum rear yard setback of 36 ft. in lieu of the required of the 50 ft. The subject property and requested relief is more fully depicted on the site plan that was marked and accepted into evidence as Petitioners’ Exhibit 1.

The Zoning Advisory Committee (“ZAC”) comments were received and are made part of the record of this case. A ZAC comment was received from the Department of Environmental Protection and Sustainability (“DEPS”) dated January 12, 2018 indicating that Ground Water Management must review any proposed building permit(s) for an addition, since this site is served by well and septic.

The Petitioners having filed a Petition for Administrative Variance and the subject property having been posted on January 14, 2018, and there being no request for a public hearing, a decision shall be rendered based upon the documentation presented.

The Petitioners have filed the supporting affidavits as required by Section 32-3-303 of the Baltimore County Code (“BCC”). Based upon the information available, there is no evidence in

the file to indicate that the requested variance would adversely affect the health, safety or general welfare of the public and should therefore be granted. In the opinion of the Administrative Law Judge, the information, photographs, and affidavits submitted provide sufficient facts that comply with the requirements of Section 307.1 of the BCZR. Furthermore, strict compliance with the BCZR would result in practical difficulty and/or unreasonable hardship upon the Petitioners.

Pursuant to the posting of the property and the provisions of both the BCC and the BCZR, and for the reasons given above, the requested variance should be granted.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, this 1<sup>st</sup> day of **February, 2018**, by the Administrative Law Judge for Baltimore County, that the Petition for Variance relief from §§ 103.1 and 1B02.3.B (see 202.4 of the 1963 Baltimore County Zoning Regulations [“BCZR”]), to permit an addition with a minimum rear yard setback of 36 ft. in lieu of the required of the 50 ft., be and is hereby GRANTED.

The relief granted herein shall be subject to the following:

- Petitioners may apply for their appropriate permits and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until such time as the 30-day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, Petitioners would be required to return, and be responsible for returning, said property to its original condition.
- Petitioners must comply with the ZAC comment received from DEPS, dated January 12, 2018; a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part hereof.

Any appeal of this decision must be made within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order.

JEB:dlw

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signed  
JOHN E. BEVERUNGEN  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Baltimore County