

<b>IN RE: PETITION FOR ADMIN. VARIANCE</b>	*	BEFORE THE
<b>(26 East Elm Avenue)</b>		
14 <sup>th</sup> Election District	*	OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE
6 <sup>th</sup> Council District		
Sylvester & Isata George	*	HEARINGS FOR
Petitioners		
	*	BALTIMORE COUNTY
	*	<b>CASE NO. 2019-0014-A</b>

\* \* \* \* \*

**OPINION AND ORDER**

This matter comes before the Office of Administrative Hearings (“OAH”) for Baltimore County for consideration of a Petition for Administrative Variance filed by the legal owners of the property, Sylvester and Isata George (“Petitioners”). The Petitioners are requesting Variance relief pursuant to §§ 400.1 and 400.2 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (“BCZR”), to permit an accessory structure (shed) to be located in the side yard in lieu of the required rear yard and to permit a setback of 10 ft. in lieu of the required 15 ft. from the centerline of the alley. The subject property and requested relief is more fully depicted on the site plan that was marked and accepted into evidence as Petitioners’ Exhibit 1.

The Zoning Advisory Committee (“ZAC”) comments were received and are made part of the record of this case. There were no adverse ZAC comments received from any of the County reviewing agencies.

The Petitioners having filed a Petition for Administrative Variance and the subject property having been posted on July 28, 2018, and there being no request for a public hearing, a decision shall be rendered based upon the documentation presented.

The Petitioners have filed the supporting affidavits as required by § 32-3-303 of the Baltimore County Code (“BCC”). Based upon the information available, there is no evidence in

the file to indicate that the requested variance would adversely affect the health, safety or general welfare of the public and should therefore be granted. In the opinion of the Administrative Law Judge, the information, photographs, and affidavits submitted provide sufficient facts that comply with the requirements of § 307.1 of the BCZR. Furthermore, strict compliance with the BCZR would result in practical difficulty and/or unreasonable hardship upon the Petitioners.

Pursuant to the posting of the property and the provisions of both the BCC and the BCZR, and for the reasons given above, the requested variance should be granted.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, this 17<sup>th</sup> day of **August, 2018**, by the Administrative Law Judge for Baltimore County, that the Petition for Variance seeking relief from §§ 400.1 and 400.2 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (“BCZR”), to permit an accessory structure (shed) to be located in the side yard in lieu of the required rear yard and to permit a setback of 10 ft. in lieu of the required 15 ft. from the centerline of the alley, be and is hereby GRANTED.

The relief granted herein shall be subject to the following:

- Petitioners may apply for necessary permits and/or licenses upon receipt of this Order. However, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until 30 days from the date hereof, during which time an appeal can be filed by any party. If for whatever reason this Order is reversed, Petitioners would be required to return the subject property to its original condition.

Any appeal of this decision must be made within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signed \_\_\_\_\_  
JOHN E. BEVERUNGEN  
Administrative Law Judge for  
Baltimore County

JEB:dlw