

August 13, 2004

C. Robert Loskot, Esquire  
Baltimore County Law Office  
400 Washington Ave  
Towson, MD 21204

Re: *In the Matter of George Majchrzak, Inc*  
Case No. CBA-04-139

Dear Mr. Loskot,

Pursuant to a Notice of Postponement and Reassignment dated August 5, 2004, a hearing on the subject case is scheduled for September 8, 2004 at 9:00 a.m.

Since my name was not included on the notices for this case, this letter is to inform you that I was one of the parties to the follow-up complaint on April 19, 2004 after it became clear that Mr. Majchrzak was not complying with the March 18th order to cease commercial operations at the subject property. In that letter of April 19th, and at the subsequent hearing on June 30, 2004, I provided photographs of the continued violations.

A large part of Mr. Majchrzak's defense in this case in the past has concerned the location of the property line between his business and the residential property which is the subject of this complaint. He has owned the property on which the business is located since 1991 and he purchased the adjoining residential property in September 2001 as recorded in Book CM 15623, Page 690 of the Baltimore County Land Records.

On May 19, I spoke to Mr. Majchrzak and he pointed out to me where he believed that the property line was (as indicated by a stake which he claimed had been placed there by a surveyor, but which was subsequently enclosed in a cement wall.) That claimed point was nearer to the southwest side of the driveway. The following week, I brought Mr. Majchrzak a copy of the referenced deed which clearly indicates that the property begins on and runs along the northeast side of said driveway. I also provided a copy of this deed to the Code Enforcement inspector. I believe that Mr. Majchrzak continues to argue that the property line is within this driveway, in spite of the fact that he shared this property line in common with others for ten years.

Although requested by the Code Enforcement hearing officer, to my knowledge, Mr. Majchrzak has not produced a survey of this property to support his contention concerning the boundaries.

I have been informed by Sophia Jennings, the original Code Enforcement inspector, that no testimony by individuals connected to this case is allowed at this hearing and that the testimony is limited to previously submitted information. Since I submitted the photos and other relevant material on which this complaint was filed and an administrative hearing was held, I am willing to testify at the referenced hearing in this matter.

Please contact me if you desire any further information.

Regards,

Michael A Pierce  
7448 Bradshaw Rd.  
Kingsville, MD 21087  
410 817-4795